

**MINUTES OF THE MEETING  
OF THE "LIFE AFTER I-134" TASK FORCE  
APRIL 20, 1993**

Present: Carla Nuxoll and C. T. Purdom, Co-Chairs; Bill Hansen; Darlene Hensley; Gloria Gibbs; Don Maekawa; Cathie Macdonald; Bill Miller; Rob Regan; Bob Fisher (ex-officio); Jim Seibert (ex-officio); Kathy O'Toole, Advisor [by phone]; John Chase, Advisor; Staff Consultant, Bob Maier; LeAnn Perry, Associate Staff.

1. UPDATE ON COURT ACTION

Conference call with Kathy O'Toole who brought the Task Force up to date on status of court actions.

- A. Superior Court lawsuit (state employees)  
[Section 26 of Initiative 134] - Superior Court has ruled that Section 26 is not unconstitutional
- Eliminates the ability of state employees to make any payroll deduction for any political purpose. 11-1200 of our AHE members may be affected. Should we join appeal? As long as litigation goes on, we can use present system. The group agreed to join appeal.
- B. \* Supreme Court Request [Section (8)3 of I-134] - Supreme Court has declined to accept and it has been routed to the Thurston County Supreme Court. A 2-3 month decision is possible.
- \* We need to make decisions in regard to PULSE and collection of dues. Kathy's assessment is that there is not a good chance of success with the suit. Justices will likely uphold, because the initiative was so popular with people. Her suggestion is to proceed as if we've lost and decide where to go from here. Reasons to proceed with litigation: can't lose anything, possible to negotiate a deal with Attorney General, we can continue without signed forms while litigation continues. /

LIFE AFTER I-134 TASK FORCE  
APRIL 20, 1993  
PAGE 5

We need to get PAC Chairs and UniServ staff involved early so that we can start tracking expenditures. It was suggested we put out a letter asking them not to contribute any more until the system is in place. It was agreed that there will be a moratorium on contributions for 30 days when the system is in place, which will be September 1, 1993. We need an interim accounting of election cycle problems (don't give any money out until January 1994).

There will be a PAC Chair and Staff meeting on May 21st to discuss this process.

**WE NEED A TIMELINE**

*It was agreed that we must be aggressive with our lobby to PDC regarding rules, regulations and implementation of Initiative 134. GR will review "loopholes" to establish what we need to lobby.*

6. OTHER IDEAS  
*[Assuming we lose lawsuit]*

AFSCME/WFSE Plans - NO

Colorado and California plans were discussed again.

Chase suggestion:

- \* (We want to hold on to our current PULSE Funds - hard money) If we put into dues, it will be considered PAC. Then you will be risking your continuing membership. Cannot raise hard money through dues.
- x --put PULSE reverse check off inside dues structure - make it an assessment with some guarantees.
- x -segregate to a new soft money account
- \* -allow people to opt-out (their money then goes into the WEA general account.